

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
TUESDAY, MARCH 19, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

B153471/S104047 In re Ruben Onesimo Sena on Habeas Corpus - April 29, 2002.

C035117/S103876 People v. Robert George Brigance – April 25, 2002.

C039811/S103849 Lewis Tile Company et al. v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board et al. – April 22, 2002.

F039231/S103756 Merced City School District v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board and Linda Karr – April 22, 2002.

S016883 People, Respondent

v.

Jarvis Masters, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Gerald A. Engler's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by December 1, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 7, 2002. After that date, only four further extensions totaling 208 additional days are contemplated.

S026223 People, Respondent

v.

Gregory Scott Smith, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including May 17, 2002.

S030402 People, Respondent

v.

Richard Tully, Appellant

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to May 17,

2002. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record of this schedule, and take all steps necessary to meet this schedule.

S036105 People, Respondent

v.

Cleophus Prince, Jr., Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including May 21, 2002.

S041008 People, Respondent

v.

Jaime Armando Hoyos, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including April 23, 2002.

S042323 People, Respondent

v.

Shaun Kareem Burney, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Geraldine S. Russell's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by June 30, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 30, 2002. After that date, only one further extension totaling 30 additional days is contemplated.

S049973 People, Respondent

v.

Douglas Oliver Kelly, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Evan Young's representation that he anticipates filing the request for correction of the record by June 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to request correction of the record in the superior court is granted to May 14, 2002. After that date, only one further extension totaling 60 additional days is contemplated.

Counsel for appellant is ordered to serve a copy of the record correction motion on this court upon its filing in the superior court.

S059912 People, Respondent

v.

Joseph Montes, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Sharon Fleming's representation that she anticipates filing the request for correction of the record by June 1, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to request correction of the record in the superior court is granted to May 20, 2002. After that date, only one further extension totaling 15 additional days is contemplated.

Counsel for appellant is ordered to serve a copy of the record correction motion on this court upon its filing in the superior court.

S090230 In re Dean Phillip Carter

on

Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Phillip H. Cherney's representation that he anticipates filing the reply informal response by May 10, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 10, 2002. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S093694 In re James Edward Hardy

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's traverse to the return to the order to show cause is extended to and including April 15, 2002.

S096874 In re Dean Phillip Carter

on

Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Phillip H. Cherney's representation that he anticipates filing the reply informal response by June 9, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 10, 2002. After that date, only two further extensions totaling 60 additional days are contemplated.

S101964 Michael Viner et al., Respondents

v.

Charles A. Sweet et al., Appellants

On application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondents' answer brief on the merits is extended to and including April 8, 2002.

S103084 People, Respondent

v.

Rodney Damon Reliford, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's opening brief on the merits is extended to and including April 15, 2002.

S103427 In re John Z., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law

People, Respondent

v.

John Z., Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Carol Foster is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits shall be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

S098266 Cadence Design Systems, Plaintiff and Appellant

v.

Avant! Corporation, Defendant and Appellant

Application of appellant, Avant!, to file surreply brief is denied.

S098266 Cadence Design Systems, Plaintiff and Appellant

v.

Avant! Corporation, Defendant and Appellant

Application of appellant, Cadence Design Systems, to file reply brief over the page limit is denied.

S099938 Sandra G. Musser, Appellant

v.

Douglas Provencher et al., Respondents

The application of respondents Provencher, et al., for rescheduling of oral argument is hereby denied.